

University of Groningen

## Evaluating Infrastructure Project Planning And Implementation

Verweij, Stefan; Gerrits, Lasse

*Published in:*  
SAGE Research Methods Cases

*DOI:*  
[10.4135/978152646997](https://doi.org/10.4135/978152646997)

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version*  
Other version

*Publication date:*  
2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. (2019). Evaluating Infrastructure Project Planning And Implementation: A Study Using Qualitative Comparative Analysis. In *SAGE Research Methods Cases* (Vol. 2, pp. 1-16). SAGE Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4135/978152646997>

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*



# **Evaluating Infrastructure Project Planning And Implementation: A Study Using Qualitative Comparative Analysis**

Contributors: Stefan Verweij & Lasse M. Gerrits

Pub. Date: 2019

Access Date: January 3, 2019

Academic Level: Advanced Undergraduate

Publishing Company: SAGE Publications Ltd

City: London

Online ISBN: 9781526467997

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781526467997>

© 2019 SAGE Publications Ltd All Rights Reserved.

This PDF has been generated from SAGE Research Methods Cases.

## Further Reading

**Gerrits, L. M., & Verweij, S.** (2018). *The evaluation of complex infrastructure projects: A guide to qualitative comparative analysis*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

**Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C.** (Eds.). (2009). *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

**Schneider, C. Q., & Wagemann, C.** (2012). *Set-theoretic methods for the social sciences: A guide to qualitative comparative analysis*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

---

## Web Resources

See [www.compasss.org](http://www.compasss.org) for an extensive overview of QCA books, articles, software, training courses, and conferences.

---

## References

**Basurto, X., & Speer, J.** (2012). Structuring the calibration of qualitative data as sets for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *Field Methods*, 24, 155–174.

**Boeije, H.** (2010). *Analysis in qualitative research*. London, England: SAGE.

**Busscher, T., Verweij, S., Van den Brink, M., Edelenbos, J., Bouwman, R., & Van den Broek, J.** (2017). *Het organiseren van ruimtelijke kwaliteit: Inzichten uit Ruimte voor de Rivier [Organizing spatial quality: Insights from Room for the River]*. Groningen, The Netherlands: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

**De Block, D., & Vis, B.** (2018). Addressing the challenges related to transforming qualitative into quantitative data in qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*. Advance online publication. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1558689818770061>

**Edelenbos, J., & Klijn, E. H.** (2009). Project versus process management in public-private partnership: Relation between management style and outcomes. *International Public Management Journal*, 12, 310–331.

**Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., & Rothengatter, W.** (2003). *Megaprojects and risk: An anatomy of ambition*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

**Gerrits, L. M., & Verweij, S.** (2013). Critical realism as a meta-framework for understanding the relationships between complexity and qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 12, 166–182.

**Gerrits, L. M., & Verweij, S.** (2016). Qualitative comparative analysis as a method for evaluating complex

2019 SAGE Publications, Ltd

cases: An overview of literature and a stepwise guide with empirical application. *Zeitschrift für Evaluation*, 15, 7–22.

**Gerrits, L. M., & Verweij, S.** (2018). *The evaluation of complex infrastructure projects: A guide to qualitative comparative analysis*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

**Pattyn, V., Gerrits, L. M., & Verweij, S.** (2015). Qualitative comparative analysis: Meer behorend bij de kwalitatieve dan de kwantitatieve benadering. *Kwalon*, 20, 26–33.

**Pattyn, V., Molenveld, A., & Befani, B.** (2017). Qualitative comparative analysis as an evaluation tool: Lessons from an application in development cooperation. *American Journal of Evaluation*. Advance online publication. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1098214017710502>

**Ragin, C. C.** (1987). *The comparative method: Moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

**Ragin, C. C.** (2000). *Fuzzy-set social science*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.

**Ragin, C. C.** (2008). *Redesigning social inquiry: Fuzzy sets and beyond*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.

**Rihoux, B.** (2003). Bridging the gap between the qualitative and quantitative worlds? A retrospective and prospective view on qualitative comparative analysis. *Field Methods*, 15, 351–365.

**Rihoux, B.** (2013). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA), anno 2013: Reframing the Comparative Method's seminal statements. *Swiss Political Science Review*, 19, 233–245.

**Rihoux, B., & Lobe, B.** (2009). The case for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Adding leverage for thick cross-case comparison. In **D. S. Byrne & C. C. Ragin** (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 222–242). London, England: SAGE.

**Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C.** (Eds.). (2009). *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

**Rohlfing, I., & Schneider, C. Q.** (2013). Improving research on necessary conditions: Formalized case selection for process tracing after QCA. *Political Research Quarterly*, 66, 220–235.

**Schneider, C. Q., & Rohlfing, I.** (2016). Case studies nested in fuzzy-set QCA on sufficiency: Formalizing case selection and causal inference. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 45, 526–568.

**Schneider, C. Q., & Wagemann, C.** (2010). Standards of good practice in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and fuzzy-sets. *Comparative Sociology*, 9, 397–418.

**Schneider, C. Q., & Wagemann, C.** (2012). *Set-theoretic methods for the social sciences: A guide to*

2019 SAGE Publications, Ltd

*qualitative comparative analysis*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

**Verweij, S.** (2013). Set-theoretic methods for the social sciences: A guide to qualitative comparative analysis. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 16, 165–166.

**Verweij, S.** (2015a). Achieving satisfaction when implementing PPP transportation infrastructure projects: A qualitative comparative analysis of the A15 highway DBFM project. *International Journal of Project Management*, 3, 189–200.

**Verweij, S.** (2015b). Producing satisfactory outcomes in the implementation phase of PPP infrastructure projects: A fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis of 27 road constructions in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33, 1877–1887.

**Verweij, S.** (2017). Addressing the evaluation-implementation gap in infrastructure megaproject research with qualitative comparative analysis. In **M. Lehtonen, P. B. Joly, & L. Aparicio** (Eds.), *Socioeconomic evaluation of megaprojects: Dealing with uncertainties* (pp. 220–239). Abingdon, UK: Routledge.

**Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M.** (2012). Assessing the applicability of qualitative comparative analysis for the evaluation of complex projects. In **L. M. Gerrits & P. Marks** (Eds.), *Compact I: Public administration in complexity* (pp. 93–117). Litchfield Park, AZ: Emergent Publications.

**Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M.** (2013). Understanding and researching complexity with qualitative comparative analysis: Evaluating transportation infrastructure projects. *Evaluation*, 19, 40–55.

**Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M.** (2014). Managing unplanned events in large infrastructure projects: Results from an in-depth comparative case evaluation. In **J. W. Meek & K. S. Marshall** (Eds.), *Compact II: Administrative strategies for complex governance systems* (pp. 81–108). Litchfield Park, AZ: Emergent Publications.

**Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M.** (2015). How satisfaction is achieved in the implementation phase of large transportation infrastructure projects: A qualitative comparative analysis into the A2 tunnel project. *Public Works Management & Policy*, 20, 5–28.

**Verweij, S., Teisman, G. R., & Gerrits, L. M.** (2017). Implementing public-private partnerships: How management responses to events produce (un)satisfactory outcomes. *Public Works Management & Policy*, 22, 119–139.

**Weiss, R. S.** (1994). *Learning from strangers: The art and method of qualitative interview studies*. New York, NY: The Free Press.